arXiv:math/0612137v1 [math.AT] 6 Dec 2006

ENRICHED REEDY CATEGORIES

VIGLEIK ANGELTVEIT

Abstract. We define the notion of an enriched Reedy category, and show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category for some symmetric monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category, the category of $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-natural transformations from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ is again a model category.

1. Introduction

A Reedy category is a category with a notion of an injective and a surjective morphism such that any morphism can be factored uniquely as a surjection followed by an injection. The simplicial indexing category is the prototypical example of a Reedy category, and if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Reedy category then so is the opposite category $\mathcal{A}^{op}$. A theorem of Dan Kan says that given a Reedy category $\mathcal{A}$ and a model category $\mathcal{M}$, the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$ of functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and natural transformations of such functors is again a model category, with the model structure described in Definition 2.4 below.

This should be compared to weak equivalences and fibrations in a diagram category as being defined levelwise, an approach that only works if $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrantly generated, and weak equivalences and cofibrantions being defined levelwise, which only works if $\mathcal{M}$ is combinatorial, a very strong condition to put on $\mathcal{M}$.

We are interested in an enriched version of this theory. Fix a symmetric monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$. We will define a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category as a category which is enriched over $\mathcal{C}$ and satisfies a suitable analog of the unique factorization axiom. We prove that the category of $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-natural transformations from a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category $\mathcal{A}$ to a $\mathcal{C}$-model category $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category, and that something stronger is true: the functor category from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$, which is another category enriched over $\mathcal{C}$, is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category.

The results in this paper will be used to retain homotopical control in [1] and [2], where we define the cyclic bar construction on an A_∞ H-space and use this to give a direct definition of topological Hochschild homology and cohomology of A_∞ ring spectra in a way that is amenable to calculations.

This paper draws heavily on Hirschhorn's book [4], particularly chapter 15, and the author would like to thank Philip Hirschhorn for his help.

This research was partially conducted during the period the author was employed by the Clay Mathematics Institute as a Liftoff Fellow.

2. Reedy categories

We start by recalling a number of things from [4].

Definition 2.1. *A Reedy category is a small category $\mathcal{A}$ together with two subcategories $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ (the direct subcategory) and $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ (the inverse subcategory), both of which contain all the objects of $\mathcal{A}$, together with a degree function assigning a nonnegative integer to each object in $\mathcal{A}$, such that*

1. *Every non-identity morphism of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ raises degree.*
2. *Every non-identity morphism of $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ lowers degree.*
3. *Every morphism $g : \alpha \to \beta$ in $\mathcal{A}$ has a unique factorization*

$$\alpha \xrightarrow{\overleftarrow{g}} \gamma \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{g}} \beta \tag{2.1}$$

with $\overleftarrow{g}$ a morphism in $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\overrightarrow{g}$ a morphism in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}$.

The canonical example of a Reedy category is the simplicial indexing category $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ with ordered sets $\mathbf{n} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and order-preserving maps. In this case $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$ is the subcategory of injective maps and $\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$ is the subcategory of surjective maps.

Now let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category, and suppose X is a functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$. By model category we mean a closed model category, and we take as part of the definition that $\mathcal{M}$ is complete and cocomplete and that the factorizations into a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration, or a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration, are factorial. This is the version of Quillen's axioms found for example in [4, Definition 7.1.3].

Definition 2.2. *Let α be an object in $\mathcal{A}$. The latching object $L_\alpha X$ is the colimit*

$$L_\alpha X = \varinjlim_{\partial(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\alpha)} X, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\alpha$ is the category of objects over α and $\partial(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\alpha)$ is the full subcategory containing all the objects except the identity on α.

The matching object $M_\alpha X$ is the limit

$$M_\alpha X = \varprojlim_{\partial(\alpha/\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}})} X, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\alpha/\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ is the category of objects under α and $\partial(\alpha/\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}})$ is the full subcategory containing all the objects except the identity on α.

Remark 2.3. *An element in the direct limit system defining $L_\alpha X$ is a pair $(X_\beta, \beta \to \alpha)$ and an element in the inverse limit system defining $M_\alpha X$ is a pair $(X_\gamma, \alpha \to \gamma)$. Let f be the composite $\beta \to \alpha \to \gamma$. Then we have a map $f_* : X_\beta \to X_\gamma$. It is not hard to check that this induces a map $L_\alpha X \to M_\alpha X$, and that X_α provides a factorization of this map as $L_\alpha X \to X_\alpha \to M_\alpha X$.*

Definition 2.4. *Let X and Y be functors $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a natural transformation.*

(1) *The map f is a Reedy weak equivalence if each*

$$f_\alpha : X_\alpha \longrightarrow Y_\alpha \tag{2.4}$$

is a weak equivalence.

(2) *The map f is a Reedy cofibration if each*

$$X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \longrightarrow Y_\alpha \tag{2.5}$$

is a cofibration.

(3) *The map f is a Reedy fibration if each*

$$X_\alpha \longrightarrow Y_\alpha \times_{M_\alpha Y} M_\alpha X \tag{2.6}$$

is a fibration.

We recall the following theorem, which is due to Dan Kan, from [4, Theorem 15.3.4]:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category. Then the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$ of functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ with the Reedy weak equivalences, Reedy cofibrations and Reedy fibrations is a model category.*

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a simplicial model category, then $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is again a simplicial model category.

3. Enriched categories

The purpose of this section is to introduce some notation and to recall some of the basic facts about enriched categories we will need. The canonical reference for enriched category theory is [6].

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category which is enriched over $\mathcal{C}$. Given objects α and β in $\mathcal{D}$, we will write $Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta)$ for the Hom object in $\mathcal{C}$ while using $hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta)$ for the underlying Hom set, defined by $hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta) = hom_{\mathcal{C}}(I, Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta))$. We let $\mathcal{D}_0$ denote the underlying category of $\mathcal{D}$, so $Hom_{\mathcal{D}_0}(\alpha, \beta) = hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta)$.

If $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are enriched over $\mathcal{C}$, we write $hom(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ for the category of $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-natural transformations from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{E}$. An object X in $hom(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ consists of an object $X(\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ for each object α in $\mathcal{D}$, and a map $Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \beta) \to Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha), X(\beta))$ in $\mathcal{C}_0$ for each pair (α, β) of objects in $\mathcal{D}$. Here $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the underlying category of $\mathcal{C}$, viewed as a category enriched over itself. A morphisms F in $hom(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ from X to Y is a collection of maps $F_\alpha : I \to Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha), Y(\alpha))$ in $\mathcal{C}$, or equivalently a collection of maps $X(\alpha) \to Y(\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{E}_0$ satisfying certain compatibility conditions. This compatibility says that [6, Diagram 1.7] is required to commute.

The category $hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$ is not enriched, and it always exists. To prove this, it is enough to write $hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})(X,Y)$ down as an equalizer in sets:

$$hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})(X,Y) \to \prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}} hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\alpha)) \rightrightarrows \prod_{f:\alpha\to\beta} hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\beta)). \tag{3.1}$$

Sometimes it is also possible to define a category $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$ which is enriched over $\mathcal{C}$ in a similar way. The objects in $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$ are the same as in $hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$ but Hom objects are defined by replacing hom in the above equalizer by Hom. Note that we can rewrite the product $\prod_{f:\alpha\to\beta} hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\beta))$ as $\prod_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{D}} hom(\alpha,\beta)\otimes hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\beta))$, using that sets are tensored over sets.

This is the approach we have to take when defining $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$, and we define $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})(X,Y)$ as the equalizer

$$\begin{aligned} Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})(X,Y) \to {} & \prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}} Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\alpha)) \\ & \rightrightarrows \prod_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{D}} Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha,\beta)\otimes Hom_{\mathcal{E}}(X(\alpha),Y(\beta)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

if it exists. If $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})(X,Y)$ exists for all $\mathcal{C}$-functors X and Y from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{E}$, then this defines a $\mathcal{C}$-category $Hom(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})$. This category is usually called the functor category from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{E}$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal model category, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is both a closed symmetric monoidal category and a model category, and these structures are compatible in the following sense: If $i : A \to B$ and $j : K \to L$ are cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}$, then the induced map

$$L\otimes A \cup_{K\otimes A} K\otimes B \longrightarrow L\otimes B \tag{3.3}$$

is a cofibration that is trivial if either i or j is. This condition is called the pushout-product axiom.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-model category, i.e., a model category which is enriched, tensored and cotensored over $\mathcal{C}$ and satisfies the analog of the pushout-product condition, i.e., if $i : A \to B$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ and $j : K \to L$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$, then the induced map (3.3) is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ that is trivial if i or j is.

Remark 3.1. *In* [5] *Hovey has another axiom which says that the canonical map $Q(I)\otimes X \to I\otimes X \cong X$ is a weak equivalence for cofibrant X. This is imporant when passing to the homotopy category, but will not play a role here because we always work on the level of the model category.*

A monoidal model category $\mathcal{C}$ is sometimes called a Quillen ring, and a $\mathcal{C}$-model category is sometimes called a Quillen module.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of simplicial sets then the pushout-product axiom is the extra condition that makes a model category which is enriched, tensored, and cotensored over $\mathcal{C}$ into a simplicial model category. If $\mathcal{C}$ is topological spaces, by which we mean compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces, then a $\mathcal{C}$-category is a topological model category.

The pushout-product axiom has some immediate consequences. For example, it follows that if $A \to B$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ then so is $K \otimes A \to K \otimes B$ for any K in $\mathcal{C}$. Similarly, if $X \to Y$ is a (trivial) fibration then so is $X^K \to Y^K$ for any K in $\mathcal{C}$.

We will sometimes write $F(K, X)$ instead of X^K for the cotensor.

4. Enriched Reedy categories

Next we define the notion of a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category. $\mathcal{C}$ is still a monoidal model category, and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category. We bootstrap ourselves from the definition of a regular Reedy category. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-category and $\mathcal{B}$ is a discrete category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$, regarded as a $\mathcal{C}$-category in a trivial way. If $U : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-functor which is the identity on objects, we get a decomposition of Hom objects in $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta) = \coprod_{g \in Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha,\beta)} Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta)_g. \tag{4.1}$$

The canonical example is where $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by $Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(\alpha, \beta) = \pi_0 Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 4.1. *A $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category is a small category $\mathcal{A}$ enriched over $\mathcal{C}$ together with a $\mathcal{C}$-functor $U : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ to a Reedy category $\mathcal{B}$ which is the identity on objects together with a natural isomorphism*

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta)_g \cong Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma, \beta)_{\overrightarrow{g}} \otimes Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \gamma)_{\overleftarrow{g}} \tag{4.2}$$

for each g in $\mathcal{B}$, where $g = \overrightarrow{g} \circ \overleftarrow{g}$ is the unique factorization in $\mathcal{B}$.

We set

$$Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha, \beta) = \coprod_{g \in Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{B}}}(\alpha,\beta)} Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta)_g \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$Hom_{\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha, \beta) = \coprod_{g \in Hom_{\overleftarrow{\mathcal{B}}}(\alpha,\beta)} Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta)_g. \tag{4.4}$$

Even though $\mathcal{A}$ has a discrete object set, the same is not true for $\partial(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\alpha)$ and $\partial(\alpha/\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}})$. Thus when defining the latching and matching object, we are forced to take colimits and limits over categories where both the objects and morphisms are objects in $\mathcal{C}$. These (co)limits are sometimes called weighted (co)limits.

Definition 4.2. *Let $X : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-functor. The latching object $L_\alpha X$ is the coequalizer*

(4.5)

$$\coprod_{\beta,\gamma<\alpha} Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma, \alpha) \otimes Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta, \gamma) \otimes X_\beta \rightrightarrows \coprod_{\beta<\alpha} Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta, \alpha) \otimes X_\beta \to L_\alpha X,$$

where one map is given by the composition $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma,\alpha)\otimes Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\gamma)\to Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)$ *and the other is given by* $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\gamma)\otimes X_\beta\to X_\gamma$*.*

The matching object $M_\alpha X$ *is the equalizer*

$$\begin{aligned}(4.6)\quad M_\alpha X \to \prod_{\beta<\alpha} F(Hom_{\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha,\beta),X_\beta)\\ \rightrightarrows \prod_{\beta,\gamma<\alpha} F(Hom_{\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma,\beta)\otimes Hom_{\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha,\gamma),X_\beta).\end{aligned}$$

The category $\mathcal{A}$ has an obvious filtration, where $F^n\mathcal{A}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ whose objects have degree less than or equal to n.

Lemma 4.3. *(See Remark 2.3.) Suppose* X *is a functor* $F^{n-1}\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{M}$*. Extending* X *to a functor* $F^n\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{M}$ *is equivalent to choosing, for each object* α *of degree* n*, an object* X_α *and a factorization* $L_\alpha X\to X_\alpha\to M_\alpha X$ *of the natural map* $L_\alpha X\to M_\alpha X$*.*

Proof. This uses the unique factorization in the definition of a Reedy category, in the same way as in the proof of [4, Theorem 15.2.1]. □

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that for every object* β *of* $\mathcal{A}$ *of degree less than* α*, the map* $X_\beta\cup_{L_\beta X}L_\beta Y\to Y_\beta$ *is a (trivial) cofibration. Then* $L_\alpha X\to L_\alpha Y$ *is a (trivial) cofibration.*

Similarly, suppose that for every object β *of* $\mathcal{A}$ *of degree less than* α *the map* $X_\beta\to Y_\beta\times_{M_\beta Y}M_\beta X$ *is a (trivial) fibration. Then* $M_\alpha X\to M_\alpha Y$ *is a (trivial) fibration.*

Proof. This is where we need the pushout-product axiom. We will do the case where each $X_\beta\cup_{L_\beta X}L_\beta Y\to Y_\beta$ is a trivial cofibration, the other cases are similar. Let $E\to B$ be a fibration. We have to show that any diagram

$$(4.7)\qquad \begin{tikzcd} L_\alpha X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[d] \\ L_\alpha Y \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dotted] & B \end{tikzcd}$$

has a lift. Classically we had to construct a map $Y_\beta\to E$ for each object $\beta\to\alpha$ in $\partial(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\alpha)$ by induction on the degree of β. We need to make sure that these maps are compatible, so in our case we need to construct a map $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes Y_\beta\to E$ for each β of degree less than α.

We proceed by induction. Suppose we have maps $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma,\alpha)\otimes Y_\gamma\to E$ for all γ of degree less than β. We then have maps

$$(4.8)\qquad Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma,\beta)\otimes Y_\gamma \longrightarrow Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\gamma,\alpha)\otimes Y_\gamma\to E$$

for each γ of degree less than β. These maps assemble to a map $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes L_\beta Y \to E$. We also have maps $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes X_\beta \to E$, so we get a diagram

(4.9)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes (X_\beta \cup_{L_\beta X} L_\beta Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[d] \\
Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)\otimes Y_\beta \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dotted] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

By assumption, each map $X_\beta \cup_{L_\beta X} L_\beta Y \to Y_\beta$ is a trivial cofibration, and by the pushout-product axiom this remains true after tensoring with $Hom_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}}(\beta,\alpha)$, so we have a lift. These lifts are clearly compatible, and induce a lift $L_\alpha Y \to E$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *A map $X \to Y$ is a trivial Reedy cofibration if and only if each $X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \to Y_\alpha$ is a trivial cofibration.*

Similarly, $X \to Y$ is a trivial Reedy fibration if and only if each $X_\alpha \to Y_\alpha \times_{M_\alpha Y} M_\alpha X$ is a trivial fibration.

Proof. We will only do the first part, the second part is dual. Recall that the pushout of a trivial cofibration is a trivial cofibration. Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a trivial Reedy cofibration. We need to prove that each $X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \to Y_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence. By the previous lemma each $L_\alpha X \to L_\alpha Y$ is a trivial cofibration, so when we take the pushout over the map $L_\alpha X \to X_\alpha$ we find that the map $X_\alpha \to X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y$ is a trivial cofibration. By assumption the composite $X_\alpha \to X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \to Y_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence, so by the two out of three axiom so is $X_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \to Y_\alpha$.

The converse is similar. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-model category. Then the category $hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-natural transformations from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ with the Reedy weak equivalences, Reedy cofibrations and Reedy fibrations is a model category.*

Proof. If we have a diagram

(4.10)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
B \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dotted] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i : A \to B$ is a Reedy cofibration and $p : X \to$ is a Reedy fibration, with either i or p a weak equivalence, we need to construct a lift. We can do this by induction on the degree, using the diagrams

(4.11)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_\alpha \cup_{L_\alpha A} L_\alpha B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X_\alpha \arrow[d] \\
B_\alpha \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dotted] & Y_\alpha \times_{M_\alpha Y} M_\alpha X
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the previous lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-model category. Then the functor category $Hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category.*

Proof. First of all, the category $Hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ exists because $\mathcal{A}$ is small and one of the axioms for a model category is that it has all small limits. In particular, the equalizer defining $Hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})(X,Y)$ for each X and Y exists.

We define $K\otimes X$ and X^K for a $\mathcal{C}$-functor $X:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ and an object $K\in\mathcal{C}$ objectwise, and it is clear that

$$Hom(K\otimes X,Y)\cong Hom(K,Hom(X,Y))\cong Hom(X,Y^K) \tag{4.12}$$

because this holds objectwise.

It remains to show that the pushout-product axiom holds. If $i:A\to B$ is a Reedy cofibration in $hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$ and $j:K\to L$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$, we need to show that

$$L\otimes A\coprod_{K\otimes A}K\otimes B\longrightarrow L\otimes B \tag{4.13}$$

is a Reedy cofibration in $hom(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M})$. But this is equivalent to each

$$(L\otimes A\coprod_{K\otimes A}K\otimes B)_\alpha\coprod_{L_\alpha(L\otimes A\coprod_{K\otimes A}K\otimes B)}L_\alpha(L\otimes B)\longrightarrow(L\otimes B)_\alpha \tag{4.14}$$

being a cofibration. By using that colimits commute with tensors, this is equivalent to each

$$(K\otimes A_\alpha)\coprod_{K\otimes(L_\alpha B\coprod_{L_\alpha A}A_\alpha)}L\otimes(L_\alpha B\coprod_{L_\alpha A}A_\alpha)\longrightarrow L\otimes B_\alpha \tag{4.15}$$

being a cofibration, and this follows from the pushout-product axiom for $\mathcal{M}$. The case where i or j is also a weak equivalence is similar. $\square$

5. Fibrant and cofibrant constants

The notions of fibrant and cofibrant constants ([4, §15.10]) have proved very useful in the classical theory, and they will play a key role here too.

Definition 5.1. *(Compare* [4, Definition 15.10.1]*.) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ has cofibrant constants if for every $\mathcal{C}$-model category $\mathcal{M}$ and every cofibrant object B of $\mathcal{M}$ the constant $\mathcal{A}$-diagram at B is Reedy cofibrant.*

Similarly, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ has fibrant constants if every constant $\mathcal{A}$-diagram at a fibrant object X is Reedy fibrant.

Given $\mathcal{C}$-functors $A:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{M}$ and $K:\mathcal{A}^{op}\to\mathcal{C}$ we define $K\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}A$ as the coequalizer

$$\coprod_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{A}}K_\beta\otimes Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha,\beta)\otimes A_\alpha\rightrightarrows\coprod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}K_\alpha\otimes A_\alpha\to K\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}A \tag{5.1}$$

Similarly, if $K : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ we define $hom(K, A)$ as the equalizer

$$hom_{\mathcal{A}}(K, A) \to \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} F(K_\alpha, A_\alpha) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathcal{A}} F(K_\alpha \otimes Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta), A_\beta) \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 5.2. *(Compare* [4, Theorem 18.4.11]*.) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-model category. If $j : A \to B$ is a Reedy cofibration in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ and $i : K \to L$ is a Reedy cofibration in $hom(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$, then*

$$L \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} A \coprod_{K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} A} K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} B \longrightarrow L \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} B \tag{5.3}$$

is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ that is a weak equivalence if either i or j is.

Dually, if $p : X \to Y$ is a Reedy fibration in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ and $i : K \to L$ is a Reedy cofibration in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ then

$$hom_{\mathcal{A}}(L, X) \longrightarrow hom_{\mathcal{A}}(K, X) \times_{hom_{\mathcal{A}}(K,Y)} hom_{\mathcal{A}}(L, Y) \tag{5.4}$$

is a fibration in $\mathcal{M}$ that is a weak equivalence if either i or p is.

Corollary 5.3. *If K is a Reedy cofibrant object in $hom(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$ and $f : X \to Y$ is a weak equivalence of Reedy cofibrant objects in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$, then the induced map $f_* : K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \to K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} Y$ is a weak equivalence of cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Dually, if K is a Reedy cofibrant object in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ and $f : X \to Y$ is a weak equivalence of Reedy fibrant objects in $hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ then the induced map $f^* : hom_{\mathcal{A}}(K, X) \to hom_{\mathcal{A}}(K, Y)$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant objects in $\mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of simplicial sets and that $\mathcal{A}$ is the simplicial indexing category $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. Then if X is a Reedy cofibrant simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$, $|X| = \Delta^\bullet \otimes_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{op}} A$. If $K = sk_{n-1}\Delta^\bullet$ and $L = sk_n\Delta^\bullet$, then the above theorem implies that $sk_{n-1}X \to sk_nX$ is a cofibration.

This also implies that if $f : X \to Y$ is a levelwise weak equivalence of Reedy cofibrant objects then f induces a weak equivalence after geometric realization.

This allows us to build a spectral sequence by filtering X by skeleta and identifying the cofiber of $sk_{n-1}X \to sk_nX$. The same is true if $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of topological spaces (compactly generated weak Hausdorff).

6. The Reedy category $\mathcal{A}_P$

Let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\Sigma$ be the category of noncommutative sets, as in [7]. The objects in $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\Sigma$ are finite sets $\mathbf{n} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and the morphisms are maps of finite sets together with a linear ordering of each inverse image of an element. Now let $\mathcal{A} \subset \boldsymbol{\Delta}\Sigma$ be a subcategory of the category of noncommutative sets which is also a Reedy category, and let P be an operad, by which we mean non-Σ operad, in $\mathcal{C}$ with $P(0) = P(1) = I$.

As in [1, Definition 3.1], we define a new category $\mathcal{A}_P$ enriched over $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. The objects are the same as in $\mathcal{A}$, but the *Hom* objects are given by

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}_P}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \coprod_{f \in Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} P[f], \tag{6.1}$$

where $P[f] = \bigotimes_{i\in\mathbf{n}} P(f^{-1}(i))$.

Proposition 6.1. *The category $\mathcal{A}_P$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category.*

Proof. The decomposition of $Hom_{\mathcal{A}_P}(\alpha,\beta)$ as a coproduct over $Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha,\beta)$ is the one in the above definition. The condition $P(0) = P(1) = I$ ensures that the direct subcategory $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_P$ is in fact equal to $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}$, and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_P$ satisfies the unique factorization condition. ☐

Corollary 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-model category. Then the category $hom(\mathcal{A}_P,\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{C}$-functors and $\mathcal{C}$-natural transformations from $\mathcal{A}_P$ to $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category, and the functor category $Hom(\mathcal{A}_P,\mathcal{M})$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category.*

Similarly, $hom(\mathcal{A}_P^{op},\mathcal{M})$ is a model category and $Hom(\mathcal{A}_P^{op},\mathcal{M})$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-model category.

From the proof of Proposition 6.1 we observe the following:

Observation 6.3. *Because the direct subcategory does not change when we pass from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}_P$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$ and $X : \mathcal{A}_P \to \mathcal{M}$ the usual description of latching objects as the coequalizer*

$$\coprod_{0\le i<j\le n-1} X_{n-2} \rightrightarrows \coprod_{0\le i\le n-1} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow L_n X \tag{6.2}$$

as in [4, Proposition 15.2.6] *is still valid.*

Dually, the usual description of matching objects for $Y : \mathcal{A}_P^{op} \to \mathcal{M}$ does not change when we pass from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}_P$.

We have two examples of subcategories of $\mathbf{\Delta}\Sigma$ which are isomorphic to $\mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$: Let ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{\Delta}\Sigma$ where the objects are totally ordered sets with cardinality at least 2, and the morphisms are maps of totally ordered sets which preserve the minimal and maximal elements. For the second example, let ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta}$ be the category whose objects are cyclically ordered sets with a given basepoint, and the morphisms are maps of cyclically ordered sets which preserve the base point.

Lemma 6.4. *(*[1, Lemma 3.3]*.) The categories ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}$ and ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta}C$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$.*

7. THE ASSOCIAHEDRA OPERAD

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be either simplicial sets or topological spaces, and let $P = \mathcal{K}$ be the associahedra operad in $\mathcal{C}$, see [8] and [1]. Also let $\mathcal{A}$ be either ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}$ or ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta}C$, so $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-Reedy category. Also recall from [1] the definition of geometric realization $|X|$ for a $\mathcal{C}$-functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}} X$ if $\mathcal{A} = {}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}$ and $\mathcal{W} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}} X$ if $\mathcal{A} = {}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta} C$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is the cyclohedra.

Proposition 7.1. *The $\mathcal{C}$-functor $\mathcal{K} : {}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K}}^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$ is Reedy cofibrant. Similarly, $\mathcal{W} : {}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta} C_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{C}$ is Reedy cofibrant.*

Proof. Let the degree function for the Reedy category ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the one sending sending a set with $n+2$ elements to n, so it corresponds to the standard degree function on $\mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$ under the isomorphism ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta} \cong \mathbf{\Delta}^{op}$.

Then we need to check that each $L_n\mathcal{K} \to K_{n+2}$ is a cofibration. But $L_n\mathcal{K} = \partial K_{n+2}$, the union of the faces of $\mathcal{K}$, so $L_n\mathcal{K} \to K_{n+2}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \to D^n$, which is certainly a cofibration.

The other case is similar. ☐

Corollary 7.2. *If $f : X \to Y$ is a Reedy weak equivalence between Reedy cofibrant $\mathcal{C}$-functors $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{A} = {}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}$ or ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta} C$, then f induces a weak equivalence $f_* : |X| \to |Y|$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 7.1 ☐

We also get the expected spectral sequences in this setup.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a pointed $\mathcal{C}$-model category, let $X : {}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$ or ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta} C_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$ be Reedy cofibrant and let E be a homology theory. Then the skeletal filtration gives a spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(E_q(X)) \Longrightarrow E_{p+q}|X|. \tag{7.1}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the classical case. By Proposition 7.1 and our definition of geometric realization it follows that each $sk_{n-1}X \to sk_nX$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$. To build the spectral seqeunce we only have to identify the filtration quotients and the d^1-differential.

Each filtration quotient looks like $K_{n+2}/\partial K_{n+2} \otimes X_n/L_nX$, and this identifies the E^1-term as the normalized chain complex associated to the graded simplicial abelian group E_*X. The identification of the E^2-term is standard. ☐

There is also a dual setup for Reedy fibrant right modules.

Theorem 7.4. *Let Y be a Reedy fibrant functor ${}^{01}\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$ or ${}^{0}\mathbf{\Delta} C_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let E be a homology theory. Then the total space filtration gives a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(E_q(Y)) \Longrightarrow E_{q-p}Tot(Y). \tag{7.2}$$

While the spectral sequence coming from the skeletal filtration usually has good convergence properties, we need additional conditions to guarantee convergence of the spectral sequence coming from the total object filtration. See for example [3] for details.

References

- [1] Vigleik Angeltveit. The cyclic bar construction on A_∞ H-spaces. *Preprint.*
- [2] Vigleik Angeltveit. Topological Hochschild homology and cohomology of A_∞ ring spectra. *Preprint.*
- [3] A. K. Bousfield. Homotopy spectral sequences and obstructions. *Israel J. Math.*, 66(1-3):54–104, 1989.
- [4] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [5] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [6] G. M. Kelly. Basic concepts of enriched category theory. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, (10):vi+137 pp. (electronic), 2005. Reprint of the 1982 original [Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge; MR0651714].
- [7] T. Pirashvili and B. Richter. Hochschild and cyclic homology via functor homology. *K-Theory*, 25(1):39–49, 2002.
- [8] James Dillon Stasheff. Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. I, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 275-292; ibid.*, 108:293–312, 1963.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago
5734 S University Ave
Chicago, IL 60637
Email: vigleik@math.uchicago.edu